# AGENDA <br> Wednesday October 2, 2013 <br> 5:30 P.M., Board Chambers, County Administration Center, 700 H Street, Sacramento, California 95814 

## COMMISSIONERS:

Chair: Jimmie Yee
Vice-Chair: Mike Singleton
Ron Greenwood
Gay Jones
Kevin MCCarty
Susan Peters
Christopher Tooker

ALTERNATE COMMISSIONERS:
Phil Serna
Jeannie Bruins
Jerry Fox
Jerry Fox
Steve Cohn
Phil Serna
John Messner

## PUBLIC COMMENT FROM THE FLOOR

The public is encouraged to address the Commission concerning any matter not on the Agenda. Public comments are limited to three minutes. The Commission is prohibited from discussing or taking any action on any item not appearing on the posted Agenda

## CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Approve the Meeting Minutes of August 7, 2013
2. Claims dated thru September 27, 2013
3. Monthly Budget Report
4. Legislation Status Report
5. Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District Municipal Service Review \& Sphere of Influence Update (LAFC 05-12) [CEQA Exempt]

## BUSINESS ITEMS

6. Update - City of Elk Grove Sphere of Influence Amendment (LAFC 09-10) [CEQA - EIR SCH\#2010092076]

## PRSENTATION

7. Elk Grove Grasp (10 Minutes)

## QUESTIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

8. Executive Officer/Staff/Commission Counsel
9. Commission Chair/Commissioners

# SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION 

## SUMMARY OF RULES AND PROCEDURES

AGENDA ITEMS: The Commission may reschedule items on the agenda. The Commission will generally hear uncontested matters first, followed by discussions of contested matters, and staff announcements in that order. Anyone who wishes to address the Commission should obtain a form from either the Commission Clerk or from the table located near the entrance of the hearing chamber.

CONDUCT OF HEARINGS: A contested matter is usually heard as follows: (1) discussion of the staff report and the environmental document; (2) testimony of proponent; (3) testimony of opponent; (4) Public Testimony (5) rebuttal by proponent; (6) provision of additional clarification by staff as required; (7) close of the public hearing; (8) Commission discussion and Commission vote.

ADDRESSING THE COMMISSION: Any person who wishes to address the Commission should submit a speaker's request form at the beginning of the meeting; move to the front of the chambers when an item is called; and, when recognized by the chair, state their name, address and affiliation. Please attempt to make your statements concise and to the point. It is most helpful if you can cite facts to support your contentions. Groups of people with similar viewpoints should appoint a spokesperson to represent their views to the Commission. The Commission appreciates your cooperation in this matter.

PUBLIC COMMENT TIME LIMITS: The Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission welcomes and encourages participation in its meetings. Rules of the Commission provide for the following limitations of discussion: The Commission will hear public comment prior to the consideration of any item. (1) a principal proponent will be allowed a 5-minute statement; (2) other proponents will be allowed a 3-minute statement; (3) opponents are allowed 3 -minute statements with the exception of spokespersons for any group who shall be permitted 5-minutes; (4) the principal proponent shall have a 3-minute rebuttal; (5) staff will provide clarification, as required.

VOTING: A quorum consists of four members of the Commission, including any alternate. No action or recommendation of the Commission is valid unless a majority (4 votes) of the entire membership of the Commission concurs therein.

OFF AGENDA ITEMS: Matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission, and not on the posted agenda, may be addressed by the general public under "Public Comment From the Floor" on the Agenda. The Commission limits testimony on matters not on the agenda to three minutes per person and not more than fifteen minutes for a particular subject. The Commission cannot take action on any unscheduled items.

SPECIAL NEEDS: Meeting facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for assistive listening devices or other considerations should be made 48 hours in advance through the Commission Clerk at (916)874-6458.

AB 745 DISCLOSURES: The Political Reform Act requires all interested parties to disclose contributions and expenditures for "political purposes" related to proposals for changes of organization or reorganization (annexations, incorporations, etc.,) as well as contributions and expenditures in connection with Conducting Authority protest proceedings. Such contributions and expenditures must be reported to LAFCo's Executive Officer to the same extent, and subject to the same requirements, as local initiative measures under the Political Reform Act. Additional information regarding these requirements can be found on LAFCo's website at: http://www.saclafco.org/Forms/index.htm.

STAFF REPORTS: Staff Reports are available on line at www.SacLAFCo.org or upon request to Diane Thorpe, Commission Clerk at (916)874-6458.

VIDEO BROADCASTS: The meeting is video taped in its entirety and will be cablecast live on Metro Cable channel 14, the government affairs channel on the Comcast, and SureWest Cable Systems and is closed captioned for our hearing impaired viewers. The meeting is webcast live at http://www.saccounty.net . The current meeting is broadcast live and will be rebroadcast; check the Metro Cable schedule for dates and times.

## MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF Wednesday August 7, 2013

The Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission met the seventh day of August 2013, at 5:30 P.M. in the Board Chambers of the Sacramento County Administration Center, 700 H Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

## PRESENT:

## Commissioners:

Jimmie Yee, Chair
Mike Singleton, Vice Chair
Ron Greenwood
Gay Jones
Susan Peters
Christopher Tooker

## Staff:

Peter Brundage, Executive Officer
Donald Lockhart, Assistant Executive Officer
Diane Thorpe, Commission Clerk
Nancy Miller, Commission Counsel
ABSENT:

Kevin McCarty

## PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

None

## CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Approve the Meeting Minutes of June 5, 2013
2. Claims dated thru August 2, 2013
3. Monthly Budget Report
4. Legislation Status Report
5. Update Rio Linda/Elverta Community Water District MSR (LAFC 07-10) [CEQA Exempt]

Moved: Commissioner Tooker
Second: Commissioner Greenwood
Absent: McCarty
Passed: Unanimous

## BUSINESS ITEMS

6. Appointment of Voting Delegate for the CALAFCO 50th Anniversary Conference at Squaw Creek and CALAFCO Board of Directors Nominations
Motion: To nominate Commissioner Singleton to run for the CALAFCO Board and delegate the Executive Officer to vote for the CALAFCO Board of Directors
Moved: Commissioner Jones
Second: Commissioner Tooker
Absent: McCarty
Passed: Unanimous

## PUBLIC HEARINGS

7. Draft Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District Municipal Service Review \& Sphere of Influence Update (LAFC 05-12) [CEQA Exempt]
Motion: To Circulate DRAFT MSR for 30 day Public Review Period
Moved: Commissioner Peters
Second: Commissioner Jones
Absent: McCarty
Passed: Unanimous
8. Cordova Hills Reorganization (LAFC 02-13)
[CEQA: LAFCo - Responsible Agency; EIR SCH \#2010062069 prepared by County of Sacramento Lead Agency]
a. Sphere of Influence and Formation of County Service Area 13 (CSA 13)
b. Annexation to Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD)
c. Annexation to Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (SRCSD)
d. Detachment of County Service Area 4B (CSA 4B)

Motion: To Approve Cordova Hills Reorganization
Moved: Commissioner Tooker
Second: Commissioner Singleton
Absent: McCarty
Passed: Unanimous

The meeting adjourned at 6: 13 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,
SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

Diane Thorpe
Commission Clerk

# SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION CLAIMS* 

Date
Submitted
to Auditor
Vendor
8/20/2013 Alhambra Sierra Springs (Water Supplies)
Amount
8/20/2013 American Planning Association\$ 16.178/20/2013 Comcast Cable\$8.88
8/20/2013 Daily Journal Corp. (Legal Advertising) ..... \$ 49.00
8/20/2013 Donald Lockhart (Meeting reimbusement) ..... \$ 30.35
8/20/2013 Donald Lockhart (Clerk Recording Fees \& Meeting reimbusement) ..... \$ 43.50
8/20/2013 The Economist ..... \$ 137.19
8/20/2013 Environmental Planning Partners ..... \$ 3,175.00
8/20/2013 First Choice Services ..... \$ 55.90
8/20/2013 Millern \& Owen ..... \$ 13,131.50
8/20/2013 Toshiba Business Solutions (Copier Lease) ..... \$ 648.02
9/3/2013 Colliers (September Office Lease) ..... \$ 4,262.97
9/3/2013 Comcast Cable ..... \$ 86.88
9/3/2013 First Choice Services ..... \$ 55.90
9/3/2013 Peter Brundage (Commission Meeting Catering) ..... \$ 142.22
9/24/2013 Alhambra Sierra Springs (Water Supplies) ..... \$ 28.36
9/24/2013 CALAFCO ..... \$ 150.00
9/24/2013 Comcast Cable ..... \$ 86.88
9/24/2013 Daily Journal Corp. (Legal Advertising) ..... \$ 35.00
9/24/2013 Environmental Planning Partners ..... \$ 362.50
9/24/2013 James Marta \& Company ..... \$ 7,000.00
9/24/2013 Millern \& Owen ..... \$ 15,972.50
9/24/2013 Pitney Bowes (Postage) ..... \$ 500.00
9/24/2013 Toshiba Business Solutions (Copier Lease) ..... \$ 793.05
TOTAL ..... \$ 47,517.77
APPROVED: ..... 10/2/2013
Jimmie Yee, Chair
SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

# SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION 

1112 I Street, Suite \#100
Sacramento, California 95814
(916) 874-6458

October 2, 2013

TO: Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission
FROM: Peter Brundage, Executive Officer PB
RE: Monthly Budget and Accounting Reports

## RECOMMENDATION:

Receive and File Accounting Period Reports 13 for FY 2012-13 and Period 1 for FY 2013-14.

## DISCUSSION:

The attached budget and accounting reports are for Accounting Period 13 for FY 2012-13 and Period 1 for FY 2013-14. These reports summarize monthly expenditures and revenues as well as the Trial Balance for these reporting periods.

There are no significant variances to report at this time.

| Library ZSP County of Sacramento Reports <br> Report group: ZSCB Trial Balance Summary by BA  <br> Report name : ZFP4816B Sum Trial Bal. by BA  <br> Data selected by: 1006614 X   <br> Data selected on: 07/19/2013 $22: 48: 28$  <br> Fiscal year : 2013   <br> Period   <br> Business Area: 13  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| ```Client: 020 Report: ZFP4816B``` | LOCAL AGENCY FORMATIPeriod: 13 ( 1. Speci) Fiscal Year: 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance Sheet Item | Begining Balance | Period Debits | Period Credits | Ending Balance |
| * Cash in Treasury <br> * Imprest Cash <br> * Inventory <br> * Due from Other Funds Year <br> * Accounts Receivable Year $E$ | 401,687.12 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,381.00 \\ 68,000.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 401,687.12 \\ 1,381.00 \\ 68,000.00 \end{array}$ |
| ** Total Assets | 401,687.12 | 69.381.00 |  | 471.068.12 |
| * Sales Tax Due <br> * Warrants Payable <br> * Deposit Stale Warrants <br> * Claims Payable <br> * Due to others <br> * Suspense Clearing <br> * Payroll Clearing | $\begin{array}{r} 2.18- \\ 1.970 .45- \\ 643.48- \\ \\ 131.20- \end{array}$ |  | 16,668.39- | $\begin{array}{r} 2.18- \\ 1,970.45= \\ 643.48= \\ 16,668.39- \\ \\ 131.20- \end{array}$ |
| ** Total Liabilities | 2,747.31- |  | 16,668.39- | 19,415.70- |
| * Reserve Fund Balance <br> * Fund Balance <br> * Revenues and Other Financi <br> * Expenditures/Expenses <br> * Estimated Revenue <br> * Appropriations <br> * Start of System Clearing | $\begin{array}{r} 220,933.00- \\ 0.08 \\ 722,737.84- \\ 726,845.95 \\ 877,805.00 \\ 1,059,920.00- \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 361.32 \\ 792,118.84 \\ 16,668.39 \\ 1,059,920.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 361.32- \\ 230,719.50- \\ 69,381.00- \\ 743,514.34- \\ 877,805.00- \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221,294.32- \\ & 230,358.10- \end{aligned}$ |
| ** Total Equity and Other Acc | 398,939.81- | 1.869.068.55 | 1,921,781.16- | 451,652.42- |
| *** Total Liabilitiea \& Equity | 401,687.12- | 1,869,068.55 | 1,938,449.55- | 471,068.12- |



| det/Actuals/Enani/Pending Date: 07/23/2013 Page: $1 / 1$ |  |  |  |  | Erantiorance | Pending | Available | \%consumed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year 2013 <br> From period 1 <br> To period 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund/Group 067A <br> Furds Oenter/Grap 45445 <br> Buchet versica 0 |  | LOCAL AGEN LAFCO DISIR | crnatican Co | Sstion |  |  |  |  |
| Cammitment Item | Buget | Actual-al | Actual- | Actual tatal |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,000.00 | 4,400.00 |  | 4,400.00 |  |  | 4,600.00 | 48.89 67.32 |
|  | 500.00 | 336.60 |  | 336.60 4736.60 |  |  | 163.40 4.763 .40 | 67.32 49.86 |
|  | 9,500.00 | 4,736.60 |  | $4,736.60$ 3,040 |  |  | 4,763.40 | 49.84 |
|  | 7,500.00 | 3,040.30 |  | $3,040.30$ 740.10 |  |  | 1,259.90 | 37.01 |
|  | 2,000.00 | 740.10 $10,033.30$ |  | 10,033.30 |  |  | 1,966.70 | 83.61 |
| 20202200 ECOXS/EPR SLI $20202900 \mathrm{BCB} /$ CNFPrincs E | $12,000.00$ $2,200.00$ | 10,033.30 |  | 10,033.30 |  |  | 2,200.00 |  |
|  | 2,200.00 | 9,632.24 |  | 9,632.24 |  |  | 2,432.24- | 133.78 |
| 20206100 maveressip dins | 7,250.00 | 9,659.00 |  | 9,659.00 |  |  | 2,409.00- | 133.23 |
| 20207600 CFITCE SUPFITS | 8,000.00 | 2,932.38 |  | 2,932.38 |  |  | 5,067.62 | 36.65 |
| 20207600 CFFICE SUPYCIES | 5,000.00 | 500.00 |  | 500.00 |  |  | 4,500.00 | 10.00 |
|  | 18,000.00 | 9,686.39 |  | 9,686.39 |  |  | 8,313.61 | 53.81 |
|  |  | 40.00 |  | 40.00 |  |  | 8,00.00- |  |
|  | 8,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 27,484.94- | 145.81 |
| 20250500 ACLONINS SVC | 60,000.00 | $87,484.94$ $517,650.03$ |  | $87,484.94$ $517,660.03$ |  |  | 291,839.97 | $\underline{63.95}$ |
| 20259100 OITHR PRCR SVC | 809,500.00 | $517,660.03$ $2,555.38$ |  | $517,660.03$ $2,565.38$ | 361.32 |  | 2,926.70- |  |
|  |  | $2,565.38$ $6,051.54$ |  | 6,051.54 |  |  | 6,051.54- |  |
| 20289800 OTHER CP EXP STP | 1,900.00 | 1,806.00 |  | 1,806.00 |  |  | 94.00 | 95.05 |
| 20291000 contrwime it sV | 17,000.00 | 15,428.45 |  | 15,428.45 |  |  | 1,571.55 | 90.76 |
| 20291200 SXSTEM DEN STP | 1,900.00 | 1,767.80 |  | 1,767.80 |  |  | 132.20 | 93.04 |
|  | 4,600.00 | 4,600.00 |  | 4,600.00 |  |  |  | 100.00 |
|  | 2,250.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,2085,00- |  |
| 20292300 GE MESSRYGR SVC |  | 3,085.02 |  | 3,085.02 |  |  | +271.34 | 72.87 |
| 20292600 cs SICRE CMARESS | 1,000.00 | 728.66 |  | 728.66 |  |  | 7,400.00 |  |
| 20293400 FUETICC WORSS SS | 7,400.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 270.97 | 99.44 |
| 20294300 LEASSD PROP LSE20296200 ES PRKKITS CISS | 48,500.00 | $48,229.03$ 875.00 |  | 48,229.03 |  |  | $875.00-$ |  |
|  | 4,000.00 | 2,232.18 |  | 2,232.18 |  |  | 1,767.82 | 55.80 |
| 20298700 TET TFHNE SVC <br> * 20-SERNDES ARD STPFITES | 1,035,200.00 | 738,777.74 |  | 738,777.74 | 361.32 |  | 296,050.94 | 71.40 |
| * 20- Sbikices And sprite | 15,220.00 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{75,220.00}{15,220.00} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| * 79-Apprcpriation for Con ** Expenditme accounts | 15,220.00 |  |  |  | 361.32 |  | 316,044.34 | 70.18 |
|  | 1,059,920.00 | $743,514.34$ $4,104.00-$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 743,514.34 \\ 4,104.00- \end{array}$ | 361.32 |  | 1,604.00 | 164.16 |
| 94941000 INTEKEST INTOME | 2,500.00- | $4,104.00$ |  | $4,104.00-$ |  |  | 1,604.00 | 164.16 |
| * $\quad 94$ - REVNNE HROM USE OF M | 2,500.00- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.35 |
|  | 188,805.00- |  |  | 21,432.34- |  |  | 167,372.66- | $\underline{11.35}$ |
| * 96 - CARRGS FIR SERNICES97979000 MISC OIHER | 188,805.00- | $\begin{array}{r} 21,432.34- \\ 766,582,50- \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 80,082.50 | 111.67 |
|  | 686,500.00- |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 766,582.50- \\ & 766,582.50- \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 80,082.50 | 171.67 |
| * 97-miscritanedos revinue | 686,500.00- | 766,582.50- |  | 792,118.84- |  |  | 85,686.16- | 90.24 |
| ** REVENIE Amonvis | $\begin{aligned} & 877,805.00- \\ & 182,175.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 792,118.84-1 \\ & 48,604.50- \end{aligned}$ |  | 48,604.50- | 361.32 |  | 230,358.18 | 26.49- |



Report: ZF SI_SPEC_DIST

Date Year Per Document \# G/L Acct BA Cost Ctr $067 A$ LOCAL AGENCY FORMATI 067 A LOCAL AGENCY FORMATI
Period: 013 Fiscal Year: 2013

Date: 07/23/2013 Time: 14:04:09 Page: 2
$06 / 30 / 20132013013190475654020207600067 \mathrm{~A} 4544540000$ Total Account Number 20207600 OFFICE SUPPLIES
$06 / 30 / 201320130131904750748 \quad 20227500$ 067A 4544540000 $\begin{array}{llllllll}06 / 30 / 2013 & 2013 & 013 & 1904750748 & 20227500 & 067 A & 4544540000 \\ 06 / 30 / 2013 & 2013 & 013 & 1904755839 & 20227500 & 067 A & 4544540000\end{array}$ $06 / 30 / 20132013$ 013 1904756546 20227500 067A 4544540000 Total Account Number 20227500 RENT/LEASES EQUIPMENT $06 / 30 / 2013 \quad 2013 \quad 013 \quad 1904755832 \quad 20253100067 A 4544540000$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}06 / 30 / 2013 & 2013 & 013 & 1904755832 & 20253100 & 067 A & 4544540000 \\ 06 / 30 / 2013 & 2013 & 013 & 1904756544 & 20253100 & 067 A & 4544540000\end{array}$ Total Account Number 20253100 LEGAL SERVICES $06 / 30 / 20132013013190475582920259100067 A 4544540000$ Total Account Number 20259100 OTHER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES $06 / 30 / 20132013013108095347$ 94941000 067A 4544540000 Total Account Number 94941000 $06 / 30 / 2013 \quad 2013 \quad 013108095039 \quad 96969900$ 067A 4544540000 Total Account Number 96969900 SVC FEES OTHER

Amount Text
153.23 OFFICE SUPPLIES
513.00
82.03 RENT/LEASE EQUTPMENT 667.34 rent lease equip 787.47 RENT/LEASE EQUIPMENT

## 1,536.84

8,098.80 LEGAL SERVICES 6,179.50 LEGAI SERVICES

14,278.30
300.00 OTHER PROF SERV 300.00

1,381.00-FY 2012/13-4TH QTR INT
1,381.00-
68,000.00-ACRUE PROJECT REVENUE FOR FY 2012-13
$68,000.00-$

```
Library : ZSP County of Sacramento Reports
Report group: ZSCB \(\quad\) Trial Balance Summary by BA
Report name : ZFP4816B Sum Trial Bal. by BA
```

Data selected by: 1009726
Data selected on: 08/07/2013 07:20:59
Fiscal year : 2014 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Period } \\ \text { Per : } & 1 \\ 17\end{array}$

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATI

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Client: } 020 \\ & \text { Report: } 2 F P 4816 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | Business Area: 067A Period: 1 ( |  | LOCAL AGENCY FORMATI ) Fiscal Year: 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance Sheet Item | Begining Balance | Period Debits | Period Credits | Ending Balance |
| * Cash in Treasury <br> * Imprest Cash <br> * Inventory <br> * Due from Other Funds Year <br> * Accounts Receivable Year E | $\begin{array}{r} 401,687.12 \\ 1,381.00 \\ 68,000.00 \end{array}$ | 2,441.50 | 22,207.53- | $\begin{array}{r} 381,921.09 \\ \begin{array}{r} 1,381.00 \\ 68,000.000 \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| ** Total Assets | 471,068.12 | 2.441.50 | 22,207.53- | 451,302.09 |
| Sales Tax Due <br> Warrants Payable <br> Deposit stale Warrants <br> Claims Payable <br> Due to Others <br> Suspense Clearing <br> Payroll Clearing | $\begin{array}{r} 2.18- \\ 1,970.45- \\ 643.48- \\ 16.668 .39- \\ \\ 131.20- \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,886.25 \\ 23,595.10 \\ 561.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,463.90- \\ 6.926 .71- \\ 430.60- \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.18- \\ 4,548.10- \\ 643.48- \end{array}$ |
| ** Total Liabilities | 19,415.70- | 45,043.15 | 30,821.21- | 5,193.76- |
| * Reserve Fund Balance <br> * Fund Balance <br> * Revenues and other Financi <br> * Expenditures/Expenses <br> * Estimated Revenue <br> * Appropriations <br> * Start of System Clearing | $\begin{aligned} & 221,294.32- \\ & 230,358.10- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 361.32 \\ 109,170.00 \\ 7,985.59 \\ 854,000.00 \end{array}$ | 2,441.50- <br> 963,531.32- | $\begin{array}{r} 220,933.00- \\ 121,188.100- \\ 2,441.50- \\ 7,985.59 \\ 854,000.00 \\ 963,531.32- \end{array}$ |
| ** Total Equity and Other Acc | 451,652.42- | 971,516.91 | 965.972.82- | 446,108.33- |
| *** Total Liabilities \& Equity | 471,068.12- | 1,016,560.06 | 996,794.03- | $451,302.09-$ |






| Date | Year Per Document $\#$ G/L Acct | BA | Cost Ctr | Amount |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 31 / 2013$ | 2014 | 001 | 108113908 | 20291100 | $067 A$ | 4544540000 | 140.00 |
| $07 / 31 / 2013$ | 2014 | 001 | 108113909 | 20291100 | $067 A$ | 4544540000 | 312.00 |

Total Account Number 20291100 SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
140.00
312.00
292.00 July 2013 WAN Allocation 292.00
260.80 Per. 1 - Messenger Services 260.80

4,125.51 LEASED PROP
$07 / 22 / 2013 \quad 2014001$ 1904764435 20294300067 A 4544540000
Total Account Number 20294300 LEASED PROPERTY USE CHARGESGS
$07 / 19 / 20132014001$ 108102506 20298700 067A 4544540000
Total Account Number 20298700 Telephone Sves
$07 / 17 / 20132014001130050030596969900 \quad 067 \mathrm{~A} 4544540000$
Total Account Number 96969900 SVC FEES OTHER

4,125.51
185.28 Jun 2014 DTech Telecommunications Charges 185.28

2,441.50- Invoice No. 65 - Greenbriar - Project \#10-05 2,441.50-

# SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION <br> 1112 I Street \#100 <br> Sacramento, California 95814 <br> (916) 874-7458 

October 2, 2013

TO: Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission
FROM: Peter Brundage, Executive Officer

RE: Legislative Update
CONTACT: Don Lockhart, AICP, Assistant Executive Officer (916) 874-2937

RECOMMENDATION
Information only, no action is recommended.

## BACKGROUND

This memo is part of the ongoing effort to keep your Commission informed regarding various legislative matters.

The current Legislative session has ended. More than 2,200 Senate and Assembly bills were introduced for consideration in the 2013-14 session.

An ad-hoc committee appointed by the CALAFCO Board of Directors considered and adopted positions on several bills.

## SUMMARY

Two key CALAFCO sponsored bills have been signed into law.

## AB 743 (Logue R) The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000.

Introduced: 2/21/2013
Last Amended: 4/3/2013
Status: 4/22/2013-Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
Calendar:
4/25/2013 \#52 ASSEMBLY ASSEMBLY THIRD READING FILE
Summary:
The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 authorizes a local agency formation commission to approve, after notice and hearing, a petition for a change of organization or reorganization of a city, if the petition was initiated on or after

January 1, 2010, and before January 1, 2014, and waive protest proceedings entirely if certain requirements are met. This provision applies only to territory that does not exceed 150 acres. This Bill would delete the January 1, 2014, date and make conforming changes. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Position: Support
Subject: Annexation Proceedings, CKH General Procedures
CALAFCO Comments: As amended, this bill removes the sunset date provision to waive protest proceedings for certain island annexations. The size of the island areas for the purposes of annexation under this provision has been amended back to 150 acres.

Unincorporated islands are more costly and inefficient for counties to administer as opposed to the local municipality. A sunset date was initially established on this ability to encourage the use of the provision and was extended to allow cities and LAFCos additional time to implement island annexation programs. The unforeseen economic downturn over the past five years has significantly hampered the initial progress, and with the sunset ready to expire at the beginning of next year, cities and LAFCos have yet to complete the work that the law intended them to do. Over the twelve year period since the law was established, hundreds of islands have been annexed, yet hundreds more remain.

Additionally, the bill was amended to reset the effective island creation date from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2014 thus allowing smaller islands of less than 150 acres created after 2000 to be annexed under these provisions. Many of these current islands remained as remnants of larger substantially surrounded island areas that had irregular boundaries or were affected by the annexation of territory for newer development.

## AB 1427 (Committee on Local Government) Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000.

Introduced: 4/1/2013
Status: 8/26/2013-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 138, Statutes of 2013.Calendar:

Summary:
Current law, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (act), provides the sole and exclusive authority and procedure for the initiation, conduct, and completion of changes of organization and reorganization for cities and districts. This bill would specify that the definition excludes any independent special district having a legislative body consisting, in whole or in part, of ex officio members who are officers of a county or another local agency or who are appointees of those officers other than those who are appointed to fixed terms. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Position: Sponsor
Subject: CKH General Procedures
CALAFCO Comments: Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Omnibus bill.

TO: Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission
FROM: Peter Brundage, Executive Officer Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission

Contact: Don Lockhart, Assistant Executive Officer (916) 874-2937
RE: FINAL RIO LINDA ELVERTA RECREATION \& PARK DISTRICT MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW AND SPHERE OF INFLUENCE UPDATE (LAFC \#05-12) [CEQA Exempt]

## RECOMMENDATION

The Final Municipal Service Review (MSR,) is the result of collaboration with Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District Board, management and staff. Your staff would like to recognize the Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District for their ongoing assistance, and timely responsiveness.

1. Staff recommends that your Commission determines that Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District provides efficient and adequate services to its customers within its service territory. The Sphere of Influence shall remain coterminous with District boundaries.
2. Municipal Service Review Determinations:

## a. Regarding growth in population expected for the District area:

The Commission determines that the District is capable of continuing to provide recreational service in the future. Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District effectively meets demand in its service area, but not on its revenues alone. It does so by collaborating with many public agencies, private entities and non-profit organizations throughout the community. These partnerships provide valuable resources and funds for events and programs that operate on a limited budget. They also increase access for Rio Linda Elverta's programs and services. Through these partnerships the District has combined common interests and developed capital projects and programs, which help to enhance the sense of community for neighborhoods in the District.
b. Regarding present and planned capacity of public facilities, and adequacy of public services, including infrastructure needs or deficiencies:

The Commission determines that the District currently provides adequate services and facilities in the District's service area. The growth and population projections show that the District must continue infrastructure development to meet growth in demand. The District recognizes this imperative and has taken steps to remain abreast of growth, particularly by collaborative planning with the County of Sacramento. New and upgraded infrastructure will be financed using a variety of sources including Development Impact Fees, Quimby Act In-Lieu Fees, State, Federal and other grant funds, Property Tax Revenue, Landscaping \& Lighting Assessment District funds, Mello-Roos Community Facilities District funds, debt issuance proceeds, partnerships with community non-profits and other agencies, donations, sponsorships and funds reserved for future capital projects.

## c. Regarding financial ability of the District to provide services:

The Commission determines that the District faces significant constraints for current and future service levels, especially from Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund property tax shifts. The District faces financial constraints from state implemented property tax shifts, but has adapted by streamlining expenses. The District coordinates with the County of Sacramento to participate in several Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP) programs in which developers pay fees to mitigate the impact of development. The District's portion of the Development Impact Fee is used to fund park and landscape improvements in new developments. Some financing plans include open space acquisition. The County of Sacramento makes periodic updates to the fee schedules based on the construction costs at the time.
d. Regarding status of and opportunities for, shared facilities:

The Commission determines that the District uses its best efforts to share resources among other agencies, and to streamline in-house costs; and that the District can continue to partner with local agencies to share the cost of new facilities, and ensure the preservation of viable park spaces.
e. Regarding accountability for community service needs, including governmental structure and operational efficiencies:

The Commission determines that the District is managed transparently and efficiently; and has shown a dedication to communicating with local residents. It conducts regular user surveys and frequently holds well-publicized public meetings to ensure that service offerings reflect local demand.

## f. Regarding other information as requested by the Commission:

The Commission determines that the District is operated efficiently and offers salaries comparable with similar sized agencies.

## BACKGROUND

The Draft Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District Municipal Service Review was presented in a Public Hearing, for the consideration of your Commission on August 7, 2013. Since that time it has been circulated for public review and comments, as well posted on the Commission website @www.SacLAFCo.org. No agency or public comments have been received to date.

During the August public hearing several operational topics were discussed. The District has further provided the following clarification.

The question was raised about the Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District approach to health and safety as it relates to inappropriate use by some members of the public. Specifically, what procedures are in place to address those using drugs and alcohol or that vandalize District facilities?

This can be an ongoing problem faced by many public organizations. On a daily basis, RLERPD park maintenance staff inspects every park by walking the entire facility to remove litter and identify any damage or safety hazards. High use areas, such as playgrounds, receive extra attention. Every two weeks the play equipment receives a technical inspection which identifies any potential wear of slides, swings, and climbing apparatus. This District staff includes one employee certified as a Playground Inspector by the National Recreation and Park Association. Since we are also finding a number of needles and syringes in some specific areas, we have recently installed tobacco dispensers strategically placed to also encourage needle disposal where it is less likely to injure a park user or one of our employees.

Effective August 1, 2013, the District has contracted with the Fulton El Camino Recreation and Park District Park Police for security in our parks. They are on a varied patrol schedule, have full POST certification, and the ability to issue citations and make arrests. We fully anticipate that their intervention will provide a positive impact making our parks safer in the future.

## Introduction

The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization (CKH) Act of 2000 requires that each Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) prepare Municipal Service Reviews and update Spheres of Influence for all cities and special districts within its jurisdiction, as necessary.

A Sphere of Influence is defined by Government Code 56425 as:
A plan for the probable physical boundary and service area of a local agency or municipality

A Municipal Service Review is defined by Government Code Section 56430 as:
A means of identifying and evaluating public services
A Municipal Service Review may be conducted prior to, or in conjunction with, the update of a Sphere of Influence.

## MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW

## Requirements

The Commission shall include a written statement of its determinations with respect to each of the following:
(1) Growth and population projections for the affected area.
(2) Present and planned capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services, including infrastructure needs or deficiencies.
(3) Financial ability of agencies to provide services.
(4) Status of, and opportunities for, shared facilities.
(5) Accountability for community service needs, including governmental structure and operational efficiencies.
(6) Any other matter related to effective or efficient service delivery, as required by commission policy.

## DISCUSSION

In an effort to respond to changing recreational demands, the District continuously reviews and assesses its parks and recreation facilities. This process includes solicitation of ideas and input of residents through workshops and community surveys, combined with best practices of comparable local park agencies and the National Recreation \& Park Association standards. Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District maintains an understanding of the community demands and is dedicated to aligning its recreation and parks system and services with customer demand.

The District also has adapted to changing financial conditions. Most of all, the District identifies shifts in property tax allocation as a major financial constraint. Since 1992, the District has had a portion of their property taxes shifted to the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF). With the current economic climate, the District has also noted decreases in assessed property values, infill development, and property transfers/sales. The District has been able to offset costs and identify alternative revenue sources through facility rentals, user fees, and collaborative efforts with other organizations, including public, private, and non-profit. Cost avoidance
practices have resulted in substantial savings to taxpayers, including evaluation and implementation of streamlined operational systems and performance of some capital improvement projects on a forced account basis.

## EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMENTS

Rio Linda Elverta Recreation \& Park District effectively meets constituent demand in its 30 square mile service area. The District delivers consistent service to the unincorporated semiurbanized areas of the north-central county. Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District provides efficient and adequate services to its customers within its service territory. The Sphere of Influence shall remain coterminous with District boundaries.

## Recommendation:

The Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District effectively meets the recreational needs of the Rio Linda Elverta community. The potential for future growth in the Elverta and possibly other areas will have a significant, positive financial impact on its ability to expand services. The District will continue to strive to satisfy the recreational needs of residents by providing a wide range of recreation programs, park facilities, and other opportunities to enrich the quality of life. The cost of addressing future capital project needs as well as to maintain current facility infrastructure without identifying new revenue source is the current largest challenge.

I recommend that your commission accept the attached Municipal Service Review, with the following determinations:

## 1. Regarding growth in population expected for the District area:

The Commission determines that the District is capable of continuing to provide recreational service in the future. Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District effectively meets demand in its service area, but not on its revenues alone. It does so by collaborating with many public agencies, private entities and non-profit organizations throughout the community. These partnerships provide valuable resources and funds for events and programs that operate on a limited budget. They also increase access for Rio Linda Elverta's programs and services. Through these partnerships the District has combined common interests and developed capital projects and programs, which help to enhance the sense of community for neighborhoods in the District.
2. Regarding present and planned capacity of public facilities, and adequacy of public services, including infrastructure needs or deficiencies:

The Commission determines that the District currently provides adequate services and facilities in the District's service area. The growth and population projections show that the District must continue infrastructure development to meet growth in demand. The District recognizes this imperative and has taken steps to remain abreast of growth, particularly by collaborative planning with the County of Sacramento. New and upgraded infrastructure will be financed
using a variety of sources including Development Impact Fees, Quimby Act In-Lieu Fees, State, Federal and other grant funds, Property Tax Revenue, Landscaping \& Lighting Assessment District funds, Mello-Roos Community Facilities District funds, debt issuance proceeds, partnerships with community non-profits and other agencies, donations, sponsorships and funds reserved for future capital projects.

## 3. Regarding financial ability of the District to provide services:

The Commission determines that the District faces significant constraints for current and future service levels, especially from Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund property tax shifts. The District faces financial constraints from state implemented property tax shifts, but has adapted by streamlining expenses. The District coordinates with the County of Sacramento to participate in several Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP) programs in which developers pay fees to mitigate the impact of development. (See map: Sacramento County Planning Areas Attachment A.3.) The District's portion of the Development Impact Fee is used to fund park and landscape improvements in new developments. Some financing plans include open space acquisition. The County of Sacramento makes periodic updates to the fee schedules based on the construction costs at the time.

## 4. Regarding status of and opportunities for, shared facilities:

The Commission determines that the District uses its best efforts to share resources among other agencies, and to streamline in-house costs; and that the District can continue to partner with local agencies to share the cost of new facilities, and ensure the preservation of viable park spaces.
5. Regarding accountability for community service needs, including governmental structure and operational efficiencies:

The Commission determines that the District is managed transparently and efficiently; and has shown a dedication to communicating with local residents. It conducts regular user surveys and frequently holds well-publicized public meetings to ensure that service offerings reflect local demand.

## 6. Regarding other information as requested by the Commission:

The Commission determines that the District is operated efficiently and offers salaries comparable with similar sized agencies.

Respectfully submitted,
SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

Peter Brundage
Executive Officer
Attachment: Final Rio Linda Elverta R\&P MSR
DL/dl (Final Rio Linda Elverta R\&PD Staff Report_Oct_13)
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## DISTRICT SUMMARY PROFILE

| Report Date: | July 2013 |
| :--- | :--- |
| District: | Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District <br> Location: <br> 810 Oak Lane |
|  | Rio Linda CA 95673 |

## INTRODUCTION

The Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District is an independent special district in Northcentral, unincorporated Sacramento County.

## Mission

The mission reflects the overarching principles of District operations and is consistent in its efforts to create a great community for residents of all ages and abilities. Nine key functions make up our Mission:

- Provide Recreational Experiences
- Foster Human Development
- Promote Health and Wellness
- Increase Cultural Experiences
- Facilitate Community Problem-solving
- Protect Natural Resources
- Strengthen Safety and Security
- Strengthen Community Image and Sense of Place
- Support Economic Development


## Boundary

The District is bounded on the north by the Sacramento, Placer, and Sutter County lines and on the east by Watt Avenue, a jog westerly on Elverta Road and south on $28^{\text {th }}$ Street to Elkhorn Boulevard to the topmost portion of McClellan Business Park, and continues in a southwestern direction along McClellan Business Park's boundary. The southern boundary is generally Ascot Avenue, Hwy 80, and Elkhorn Road. The western boundary runs south along Hwy 99/El Centro Road, Elkhorn Boulevard, Sacramento City limit by, and Gateway Park Boulevard. (Exhibit B)

## Area

The District occupies approximately 30 square miles or 19,200 acres of land.

## Population

Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District population is approximately 25,000.

## History

The Rio Linda Recreation and Park District was formed as County Service Area \#3 by the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors and established under the Sacramento County Department of Parks and Recreation in fiscal year 1961-1962 to provide park and recreation services to the residents of the Rio Linda area. The community of Elverta was included in a

1985 annexation. In 1990, the Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District was created as a dependent park district and became an independent district in 1993.

## MANAGEMENT

## Management Structure

Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District is an independent special district governed by a five-member elected board of directors. The Board of Directors holds regular meetings on the third Wednesday of each month at 6:30 pm, at the Sacramento Northern Welcome/Visitors Center (Depot), 6730 Front Street, Rio Linda, California. Meeting notices are posted at the Rio Linda Elverta Community Center, the Depot, the Rio Linda Elverta Community Water District Office, and the District website (www.RLEparks.org). Board members receive a stipend of \$100 per meeting.

The Board of Directors appoints the District Administrator, who serves at-will and is responsible for policy implementation and the day-to-day operation of the district including the recruitment and supervision of district staff. Senior management employees include the Administrative Analyst, Park Supervisor and Recreation Supervisor.

## Outreach

The Board of Directors encourages the public's involvement at all meetings. Besides posting the board meeting agendas, copies are sent to all residents who have requested notification, other local government officials, and area newspapers of general circulation.

Other services are marketed through a seasonal activity guide, a street side marquee, banners, flyers distributed at schools ${ }_{L}$ a website (www.RLEParks.org), social media (Facebook), mass email notices, and local newspapers.

## SERVICES

## Services Provided

Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District provides recreation programs, improved parks, and open space services to meet the recreational needs of community residents.

The District follows the National Recreation and Park Association recommended standard of five to ten acres of park for every 1,000 population. Five park categories recognized in the District's 2006 Recreation Facilities Master Plan:

- Mini Parks. Generally less than two acres in size serving a concentrated or limited population.
- Neighborhood Parks. Small park areas of approximately five to fifteen acres serving a population of 2,000-5,000 within $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ mile radius.
- Community Parks. Designed to accommodate a wider variety and higher intensity of recreational uses than a neighborhood park often including specialized facilities such as a community center or aquatic center. Intended to serve multiple neighborhoods reaching a population of 20,000 people in a one to two mile radius.
- School-Park Sites. Could provide neighborhood or community park services combining the design and use of recreational amenities jointly with a school site thereby increasing service potential for both the park and school system.
- Special Use Facilities or Areas. Usually do not fit into other park categories-_often varying in character and use from traditional park sites. Special Use Facilities or Areas can provide unique recreational opportunities or preserve valuable environmental, cultural and/or historical resources.


## Recreation programs

A variety of recreational, educational, and social-service activities are offered to serve all ages, interests, needs, and abilities. Programs include sports, camps, special interest classes, aquatics, senior citizen activities, and special events. Programs are offered throughout the year and often in cooperation or partnership with other organizations including the Chamber of Commerce, churches, service clubs, nonprofit organizations, local businesses, school districts, and other government agencies.

## Parks and Facilities

The district provides seven improved parks totaling 47.32 acres. An additional 33.5 acres of unimproved park land is available for development. (Table No. 1). Amenities include sports fields, a dog park, play equipment, group picnic shelters, horse arena, community center, skate park, basketball, and tennis courts. A bicycle motocross track and quarter midget race track are offered through concession management. Gymnasiums, multipurpose rooms, class rooms, as well as a swimming pool are used for District recreation activities through joint use and rental agreements.

Besides sharing school facilities, the district operates two community centers to provide a variety of classes and special events as well as an opportunity to hold meetings and rental activities.

Future growth is approved for the Elverta Specific Plan Area in the northeast quadrant of the District. The land use plan of 1,744 acres is intended to provide a village-scaled community with an eventual build-out of up to 4,950 new homes. This will include a 15 acre community center, 38 acre sports-park, and more than 20 acres of planned neighborhood and community parks. Additionally, street landscape and 118 acres of open
space are contemplated. The District's 2006 Park Master Plan addresses the standards required for future park and facility development.

## Park Descriptions

Table No. 1

|  | PARK | AC | AMENITIES | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Babe Best Park | 8.16 | Baseball fields (4), playground, parking lot (2), restroom/snack bar. | Possible mini dog park in future; expand $N /$ parking lot. |
| 2 | Central Park Horse Arena Park | 12.29 | Horse arena, improved and unimproved parking; BMX track. | Access to bike trail; bridge access to Hayer Park. |
| 3 | Community Center Park | 6.09 | Community Center; district office; playground, horseshoe pits; basketball ct.; tennis court.; two playgrounds; parking lot; butterfly gardens; shuffleboard court; picnic shelter; trail access. | 2006 Master Plan, Page VII-25 says Community Center Park is 12.5 acres (not 8.5 ac ). |
|  | Depot Park | 4.1 | Depot Center; gazebo; horse and pedestrian/bike trail. |  |
| 4 | Harvey House Corporation Yard | 1.25 | Maintenance offices; garage; outdoor storage. |  |
| 5 | Hayer Park | 6.9 | Parking lot; quarter midget race track; restroom/snack bar; picnic tables. | Adjacent to Rio Linda Preparatory Academy (TRUSD) sports fields and track. |
| 6 | Linda Creek Park | 3.5 | Open Space | In flood plain. |
| 7 | Northbrook Park | 2.5 | Play equipment; basketball court; fitness trail. <br> [APN: 215-0140-014 \& 016\} |  |
| 8 | Ponderosa Farm Park | 30.0 | Unimproved park [APN: 202-0030-034 (portion)] | Part of 80 acre tract of land; zoned AG-80 F. (Flood Zone: AE; A99; X.FEMA Map No. 0602620055D, dated 11/15/89) |
| 9 | Westside Park | 7.28 | Lighted softball field; volleyball ct.; dog park; restroom; parking lot. <br> [APN: 214-0200-040 \& 041] | Includes apx. 3.5 acres turf area with backstop and play equipment at Westside School (TRUSD). |
| 10 | Un-named Parcel | . 09 | Posts for hanging District banners are the only site improvement. |  |
| TOTAL IMPROVED PROPERTY 46.56 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL UNIMPROVED PROPERTY 35.6 |  |  |  |  |

## INFRASTRUCTURE

Infrastructure needs are determined by the District's Board of Directors with input from staff, members of the public, youth athletic groups, community organizations, park neighbors, and surveys. In 2005 the District approved a master plan for future park facilities. The Park and Recreation Master Plan is reviewed annually and was formally updated in 2006. Through a memorandum of understanding, the District partners with the Twin Rivers Unified School District for use of gymnasiums, a swimming pool, and other facilities. Programs services are also provided on school facilities through a partnership with the Elverta Joint Elementary School District.

## Capital Improvement Projects

The need to provide new capital improvements is based on policies developed in the District's 2006 Park and Recreation Master Plan and public demand. Priorities for new projects consider:

- Ability to meet broad public need
- Availability of outside revenue resources
- Financial ability to provide ongoing maintenance and operation
- Socio-economic and quality of life impact
- Ability to coordinate with other projects to minimize environmental impacts and maximize use of resources.

The District utilizes facilities or other organizations to expand its ability to provide services and avoid project duplication. These can include schools, churches, and commercial properties. The Rio Linda Elverta Community Center (7,272 sf) is recognized as an Emergency Preparedness Center by Sacramento County.

## Deferred Maintenance

In 2011, the District contracted with an independent engineering firm to conduct a review of all facilities and park amenities resulting in a thirty year analysis of infrastructure conditions, life expectancy, and repair or replacement projection costs. The report provides a year-to year recommendation of maintaining a replacement reserve fund for all capital facilities and equipment. The District annually adds and/or withdraws from the deferred maintenance reserves based on current and projected needs.

The study evaluated all fixed assets including building and park structures, landscaping, parking lots, etc. Interior and exterior improvements such as paint, plumbing, roofing were considered along with prior and anticipated wear.

## FINAL

## FINANCES

## Revenue Sources

The District's primary revenue source is the ad valorem property tax. In FY 2013, the Appropriations (Gann) Limit was $\$ 982,995$ while the Secured Property Tax Income was $\$ 660,772$, well within the established limit. Budgeted tax revenues in all categories were $\$ 718,752$. Other principle sources of revenue include Facility Rentals ( $\$ 22,960$ ), Recreation Fees $(\$ 124,659)$, and maintenance services to the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency $(\$ 23,500)$. Total budgeted revenues in FY 2013 of $\$ 1,221,549$ included one-time sources such as grants and transfers from reserve accounts. Total budgeted expenses in FY 2013 were $\$ 959,376$.

## Revenue Constraints and Opportunities

With the passage of Proposition 1A in 2004, property tax revenues stabilized after several years of transfers by the State Legislature to the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF). This was followed by the decline in property tax assessed valuations starting in 2006. Recently assessed values appear to have stabilized and moderate growth in property tax income is expected in the next several years. The District receives only about $4 \%$ of the $1 \%$ assessed value of the annual property tax collected which severally limits its ability to maintain existing facilities and provide adequate administrative support and recreation services. Faced with declining tax revenues, the District recently initiated salary and wage freezes, work furloughs, a reduction in force by not filling vacant positions, downgraded management positions, and postponed deferred maintenance projects.

The pending development of the Elverta Village Specific Plan area will provide not only new facilities to meet the needs of future residents but increased property tax revenues which, through a broad economy of scale, will help sustain District operations. The District now receives a park impact fee ( $\$ 6,567$ for Single Family Residential) for new development. The Elverta Village Specific Plan is conditioned to provide an ongoing park maintenance revenue source which will likely be either a Community Facilities District (Mello-Roos) or Benefit Assessment District.

## Reserve Funds

The District maintains several reserve funds to assure adequate funds for future costs. The ongoing funds include (a) contingency reserves for unanticipated expenses, (b) compensated absences to fund employee leave banks, (c) Park Impact and In Lieu (Quimby) fees, and (d) deferred maintenance. The reserves help insure that expenditure impacts on annual operational monies is minimal.

## CONCLUSION

The Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District effectively meets the recreational needs of the Rio Linda Elverta community. The potential for future growth in the Elverta and possibly other areas will have a significant, positive financial impact on its ability to expand services. The District will continue to strive to satisfy the recreational needs of residents by providing a wide range of recreation programs, park facilities, and other opportunities to enrich the quality of life. The cost of addressing future capital project needs as well as to maintain current facility infrastructure without identifying new revenue source is the current largest challenge.

## Management

The District has an adequate management structure, staff, and facility sharing arrangements to ensure efficient management and provide parks and recreation services to the community at a reasonable cost. The District is efficiently structured and organized. The District provides the public with adequate information and opportunity for input and involvement in District Activities.

## District Services

The District is able to appropriately gauge the demand and provide parkland, recreational facilities and programming to meet the needs of the community. The District provides adequate levels of service for parks and recreational facilities. The District effectively meets the needs of existing and future populations of the service area.

## Infrastructure

The District provides adequate levels of service and park maintenance. Through financial planning and capital improvement projects, the District is able to replace, improve and renovate amenities in the park and provide safe and enjoyable facilities for residents. The acquisition and development program has not required long term indebtedness.

## Finance

The District's financial position is adequate and planned development opportunities in the future will enhance the District's fiscal position. Though there are revenue constraints, the District is able to continue to serve residents. The District also takes advantage of various grants and private donations to improve infrastructure and services provided. All of the Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District's annual independent audits have resulted in an unqualified report.

## DETERMINATIONS

## 1. Regarding growth in population expected for the District area:

The Commission determines that the District is capable of continuing to provide recreational service in the future. Rio Linda Elverta Recreation and Park District effectively meets demand in its service area, but not on its revenues alone. It does so by collaborating with many public agencies, private entities and non-profit organizations throughout the community. These partnerships provide valuable resources and funds for events and programs that operate on a limited budget. They also increase access for Rio Linda Elverta's programs and services. Through these partnerships the District has combined common interests and developed capital projects and programs, which help to enhance the sense of community for neighborhoods in the District.

## 2. Regarding present and planned capacity of public facilities, and adequacy of public

 services, including infrastructure needs or deficiencies:The Commission determines that the District currently provides adequate services and facilities in the District's service area. The growth and population projections show that the District must continue infrastructure development to meet growth in demand. The District recognizes this imperative and has taken steps to remain abreast of growth, particularly by collaborative planning with the County of Sacramento. New and upgraded infrastructure will be financed using a variety of sources including Development Impact Fees, Quimby Act In-Lieu Fees, State, Federal and other grant funds, Property Tax Revenue, Landscaping \& Lighting Assessment District funds, Mello-Roos Community Facilities District funds, debt issuance proceeds, partnerships with community non-profits and other agencies, donations, sponsorships and funds reserved for future capital projects.

## 3. Regarding financial ability of the District to provide services:

The Commission determines that the District faces significant constraints for current and future service levels, especially from Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund property tax shifts. The District faces financial constraints from state implemented property tax shifts, but has adapted by streamlining expenses. The District coordinates with the County of Sacramento to participate in several Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP) programs in which developers pay fees to mitigate the impact of development. (See map: Sacramento County Planning Areas Attachment A.3.) The District's portion of the Development Impact Fee is used to fund park and landscape improvements in new developments. Some financing plans include open space acquisition. The County of Sacramento makes periodic updates to the fee schedules based on the construction costs at the time.

## 4. Regarding status of and opportunities for, shared facilities:

The Commission determines that the District uses its best efforts to share resources among other agencies, and to streamline in-house costs; and that the District can continue to partner with local agencies to share the cost of new facilities, and ensure the preservation of viable park spaces.

## 5. Regarding accountability for community service needs, including governmental structure and operational efficiencies:

The Commission determines that the District is managed transparently and efficiently; and has shown a dedication to communicating with local residents. It conducts regular user surveys and frequently holds well-publicized public meetings to ensure that service offerings reflect local demand.

## 6. Regarding other information as requested by the Commission:

The Commission determines that the District is operated efficiently and offers salaries comparable with similar sized agencies.

```
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```


# SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION <br> 1112 I Street \#100 <br> Sacramento, California 95814 <br> (916) 874-6458 

October 2, 2013
TO: Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission
FROM: Peter Brundage, Executive Officer

## RE: ELK GROVE GRASP PRESENTATION

CONTACT: Don Lockhart AICP, Assistant Executive Officer
(916) 874-2937 (Don.Lockhart@SacLAFCo.org)

## RECOMMENDATION

Receive and file. No Commission action is required.

## SUMMARY

The GRASP organization has requested an opportunity to address your Commission regarding community concerns and the City of Elk Grove. Their request was discussed by your Commission on August 7, 2013. At that time staff was directed to extend an invitation to the requesting parties to make a presentation this evening.

The PowerPoint presentation is scheduled for ten minutes, including Commission discussion.
The speakers will be Nikki Carpenter and Lynn Wheat.
Outline:

1) Introduction of Elk Grove Grasp
2) Reasons for opposition to SOI.
3) Slide Presentation(12 slides)

The Elk Grove GRASP has expressed their appreciation for the presentation opportunity tonight.

Respectfully,
SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
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8401 Laguna palms Way • Elk Grove, California 95758
TEL: 916.683.7111 . FAX: 916.627.4201 . www.elkgrovecity.org

September 23, 2013

Jlmmy Yee<br>Chairman<br>Sacramento LAFCo<br>1121 | Street<br>Sacramento California 95814

## RECEIVED

 SEP 272013SACRANENTOLOBALAGEMCY FORMATIOMCOHMHESHON

## Re: Affirmation of the City of Elk Grove's Sphere of Influence Amendment Application

## Dear Chairman Yee:

We, the Elk Grove Clty Council, send this letter to you, with copies to the LAFCo Commissioners, to affirm our desire to have our Sphere of Influence Amendment Application approved as submitted, and specifically requesting that LAFCo honor our proposed SOI boundary as contained In the City's application.

The Clty has walted almost flve years to have its application and related documents completed so that it could be presented and considered by LAFCO. There has been a small but vocal opposition to the City's application who have addressed the Commission on several occaslons to ralse concerns. However, the City believes that these concerns can all be addressed once the City's application is considered at a properly noticed LAFCo hearing held in conjunction with consideration of the project EIR, and once further planning is undertaken after the City's SOI application is considered and approved.

LAFCO Commission's approval of our SOI application does not allow development to occur; It does not change the land use jurisdiction; and it does not have any immediate physical Impacts. Rather, It sets the stage to allow the City and its many partners to plan for the future of our community and the region. And, all of this planning must be reviewed and ultimately approved by the LAFCo Commission before development can proceed.

The Clty of Elk Grove belleves It deserves the opportunity to plan for the future needs of our community which Includes providing more job-generating land uses and needed open space within the City limits, as well as the opportunity to demonstrate to the region that the City is committed to protecting and preserving habitat. Again, on behalf of the City Councll, we urge the LAFCo Commission to approve our application as submitted.

## Very truly yours,



James Cooper, Council Member


## Robert Trigg, Council Member

cc: LAFCO Commissioners
Laura Gill, City Manager
Jonathan Hobbs, City Attorney
Peter Brundage, LAFCo Executive Officer Nancy Miller, LAFCo General Counsel

